

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/849,525	Applicant(s) EHRSTROM ET AL.	
	Examiner MICHAEL ABOAGYE	Art Unit 1793	

All Participants:

(1) MICHAEL ABOAGYE

(2) Brian J. Hairston

Date of Interview: 29 September 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

5, 6, and 15-18

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael Aboagye/
 Assistant Examiner,
 Art Unit 1793

Status of Application: EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:10 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 5, 6 and the non-elected claims 15-18 were discussed. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 5 and 6 due to being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous independent claim. Applicant also agreed to cancel the non-elected claims 15-18, however applicant reserves the right to file a Divisional Application containing the subject matter of said non-elected claims.